Public Document Pack

PLEASE NOTE: The water efficiency briefing note is attached four times to the **electronic** supplement in identical form – this is to ensure that the update appears on the website against each agenda item concerned.



TO: Members and Substitutes of the Development Control Committee

(Copy to recipients of Development Control Committee Papers)

Contact Helen Hardinge **Direct Dial** 01638 719363

Email helen.hardinge@westsuffolk.gov.uk

1 November 2018

Dear Councillor

FOREST HEATH DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE - WEDNESDAY 7 NOVEMBER 2018

I am now able to enclose, for consideration on the Wednesday 7 November 2018 meeting of the Forest Heath Development Control Committee, a **supplementary** briefing note with regard to water efficiency.

This contains further explanation in respect of related water efficiency conditions which are listed against applications included on your agenda, namely:

5. <u>Planning Application DC/17/2476/RM - Development Site, Meddler Stud, Bury Road, Kentford</u>

Report No: DEV/FH/18/024,

- 6. Planning Application DC/18/0135/RM Land Adjacent to Cock Inn (now known as The Kentford Public House), Bury Road, Kentford Report No: DEV/FH/18/025,
- 7. Planning Application DC/18/0821/OUT Former Police Station,
 Lisburn Road, Newmarket
 Report No: DEV/FH/18/026, and
- 8. Planning Application DC/18/0614/FUL Land East of Beeches Road,
 Beeches Road, West Row
 Report No: DEV/FH/18/027

Also attached is an update paper in respect of:

6. Planning Application DC/17/2476/RM - Development Site, Meddler Stud, Bury Road, Kentford

Report No: DEV/FH/18/024

Helen Hardinge Democratic Services Officer



Member Update

Application DC/17/2476/RM - Meddler Stud, Bury Road, Kentford

Introduction

This update has been prepared in advance of Planning Committee to provide further information in relation to the Racehorse Training element of the development.

The principle of a mixed used scheme, incorporating a racehorse training element, has been established by the appeal decision. The general parameters of the training establishment were also been set out in the outline consent which states that it must include "20 stables, an exercise ring, barn, paddock and trainer's dwelling."

At the October Planning Committee, Members raised concerns about the detail of the Racehorse Training Establishment. In light of this, Officers have sought further advice from the Jockey Club.

Jockey Club Comments

Following a meeting with Nick Pattern of the Jockey Club, Officers can provide the following summary of their comments:

- 1. The nature of the facility and Members' concerns over the adequacy of the track for training purposes:
 - The Jockey Club has advised that, based on the proposed plans, the site would be appropriate for a pre/training or breaking establishment or even a quarantine facility. In this regard, the nature of the facilities would be sufficient.
 - It is acknowledged that the track is quite tight but, it is considered suitable for the purposes of the sorts of establishments set out above.
 - The risk of contamination is an important one and as such trainers may wish to have a separate facility for new horses. Equally, with an increase in foreign travel for races, the site could function well as a pre-travel guarantine facility.
 - It is also possible that it could function as a small training yard, albeit there would be a reliance on the facilities within Newmarket.
- 2. Specific comments on the detail of the proposals:
 - The horse walker position should be clarified and it should be located as close as possible to the stables.
 - The large paddock area south of the trainers dwelling should ideally be fenced into smaller paddocks.
 - Suggested seeking clarification of the staff facilities provided

- Rather than 2 x 10m lunges it would be better to have a single 12m-15m lunge
- The exercise track should be fenced with white running rails. It also does not need to be 5m wide. 3.5m would be sufficient.
- As advised previously, a landscape buffer should be provided between the exercise area and the play area on the open space.

Applicant Response

The Jockey Club comments have been passed to the applicant who has advised that they will be looking to address the points raised. It is anticipated that amended plans will be submitted in this regard and members will be fully updated on this at Committee.

1.0 Purpose

1.1 This briefing note has been prepared in response to a recent appeal decision where the Planning Inspector concluded that imposing the Councils' standard 'water efficiency' condition upon a planning permission would be unreasonable and unenforceable.

2.0 The planning condition

- 2.1 The planning condition is a standard condition imposed u as a matter of course upon the majority of planning applications that propose residential development. The standard condition is as follows:
 - No individual dwelling hereby approved shall be occupied until the optional requirement for water consumption (110 litres use per person per day) in Part G of the Building Regulations has been complied with for that dwelling.
- 2.2 The reason for the condition is summarised on decision notices as follows:

Reason: To improve the sustainability of the dwellings in accordance with policy DM7 of the Joint Development Management Policies (2015)

3.0 The appeal

- 3.1 The appeal case was for the construction of 3 dwellings at Stoke by Clare in St Edmundsbury district (appeal reference : APP/E3525/W/18/3197802 Planning application reference DC/16/2711/FUL).
- 3.2 As is standard practice, the Council provided the planning Inspector with a list of conditions it would wish to be imposed if the appeal were to be allowed (this is always offered without prejudice to the outcome of an appeal).
- 3.3 The Inspector allowed the appeal but chose not to impose the 'water efficiency condition'. The following extract from the appeal decision sets out the Inspectors' consideration:

"Finally, I have also omitted the Council's suggested condition which relates to optional maximum water consumption requirements under the building regulations. This is a matter which would be dealt with under these separate regulatory regulations. Furthermore, as an optional requirement, I do not consider it appropriate to compel this as part of the planning permission. This would fail to meet the tests of reasonableness or enforceability."

4.0 The Planning Policy requirement

- 4.1 The requirement for enhanced water efficiency measures to be secured from new residential development is grounded within policy DM7 (Sustainable Design and Construction) of the Joint Development Management Policies Document (2015).
- 4.2 The supporting text to policy DM7 sets out the context and justification for requiring enhanced water efficiency measures (paragraphs 3.24 and 3.25):
- 4.3 Policy DM7 states (amongst other things):

Proposals for new residential development will be required to demonstrate that either:

- No water fitting exceeds the values set out in Table 1 (or any other fittings specification that government issues to supersede this),
- 4.4 Table 1 referred to by Policy DM7 is as follows:

Water Fitting	National Base Level	
WC	6/4 litres dual flush or 4.5 litres single flush	
Shower	10 l/min	
Bath	185 litres	
Basin Taps	6 l/min	
Sink Taps	8 l/min	
Dishwasher	1.25 l/place setting	
Washing Machine	8.17 l/kilogram	
Table 1: fittings-based specification from DCLG (2014) Housing Standards Review:		
Approved Document G: Requirement G2 Water efficiency		

5.0 The Building Regulations Requirement

5.1 Water efficiency measures are also required by the Building Regulations. The building regulations set a specific water use standard that is appropriate for all new development proposals. Part G2 of the Regulations requires a maximum of 125 litres per person per day, or 110 litres per person per day (the optional requirement) "where a condition that the dwelling should meet the optimum requirement is imposed as part of the process of granting planning permission" (my emphasis).

- 6.1 The Inspectors conclusions that the imposition of a planning condition fails to meet the tests of reasonableness or enforceability are factually incorrect.
- 6.2 It is appropriate to attach the standard condition onto most planning permissions which approve new housing by virtue of the specific requirements of the Development Plan (through Policy DM7). Although water efficiency measures are ultimately secured and enforced via the Building Regulations, the Regulations require the 'optional requirement' of 110 litres per person per day to be a requirement of planning permission. Policy DM7 sets out the same water use requirement. This is no coincidence and was drafted in this way to ensure that lower water use is secured (via the Building Regulations) as part of the construction of new housing development built in West Suffolk. The requirement is based on sound evidence which has been tested at examination.
- 6.3 Where applicants have failed to provide evidence to address the second strand of the policy DM7 requirement, it continues to be appropriate to impose the planning condition set out at paragraph 2.1 above. Failure to address water efficiency as part of the consideration of planning applications for new housing development would be contrary to policy DM7 and without proper evidence based justification to set aside the requirement, decisions could be vulnerable to third party challenge.

1.0 Purpose

1.1 This briefing note has been prepared in response to a recent appeal decision where the Planning Inspector concluded that imposing the Councils' standard 'water efficiency' condition upon a planning permission would be unreasonable and unenforceable.

2.0 The planning condition

- 2.1 The planning condition is a standard condition imposed u as a matter of course upon the majority of planning applications that propose residential development. The standard condition is as follows:
 - No individual dwelling hereby approved shall be occupied until the optional requirement for water consumption (110 litres use per person per day) in Part G of the Building Regulations has been complied with for that dwelling.
- 2.2 The reason for the condition is summarised on decision notices as follows:

Reason: To improve the sustainability of the dwellings in accordance with policy DM7 of the Joint Development Management Policies (2015)

3.0 The appeal

- 3.1 The appeal case was for the construction of 3 dwellings at Stoke by Clare in St Edmundsbury district (appeal reference : APP/E3525/W/18/3197802 Planning application reference DC/16/2711/FUL).
- 3.2 As is standard practice, the Council provided the planning Inspector with a list of conditions it would wish to be imposed if the appeal were to be allowed (this is always offered without prejudice to the outcome of an appeal).
- 3.3 The Inspector allowed the appeal but chose not to impose the 'water efficiency condition'. The following extract from the appeal decision sets out the Inspectors' consideration:

"Finally, I have also omitted the Council's suggested condition which relates to optional maximum water consumption requirements under the building regulations. This is a matter which would be dealt with under these separate regulatory regulations. Furthermore, as an optional requirement, I do not consider it appropriate to compel this as part of the planning permission. This would fail to meet the tests of reasonableness or enforceability."

4.0 The Planning Policy requirement

- 4.1 The requirement for enhanced water efficiency measures to be secured from new residential development is grounded within policy DM7 (Sustainable Design and Construction) of the Joint Development Management Policies Document (2015).
- 4.2 The supporting text to policy DM7 sets out the context and justification for requiring enhanced water efficiency measures (paragraphs 3.24 and 3.25):
- 4.3 Policy DM7 states (amongst other things):

Proposals for new residential development will be required to demonstrate that either:

- No water fitting exceeds the values set out in Table 1 (or any other fittings specification that government issues to supersede this),
- 4.4 Table 1 referred to by Policy DM7 is as follows:

Water Fitting	National Base Level	
WC	6/4 litres dual flush or 4.5 litres single flush	
Shower	10 l/min	
Bath	185 litres	
Basin Taps	6 l/min	
Sink Taps	8 l/min	
Dishwasher	1.25 l/place setting	
Washing Machine	8.17 l/kilogram	
Table 1: fittings-based specification from DCLG (2014) Housing Standards Review:		
Approved Document G: Requirement G2 Water efficiency		

5.0 The Building Regulations Requirement

5.1 Water efficiency measures are also required by the Building Regulations. The building regulations set a specific water use standard that is appropriate for all new development proposals. Part G2 of the Regulations requires a maximum of 125 litres per person per day, or 110 litres per person per day (the optional requirement) "where a condition that the dwelling should meet the optimum requirement is imposed as part of the process of granting planning permission" (my emphasis).

- 6.1 The Inspectors conclusions that the imposition of a planning condition fails to meet the tests of reasonableness or enforceability are factually incorrect.
- 6.2 It is appropriate to attach the standard condition onto most planning permissions which approve new housing by virtue of the specific requirements of the Development Plan (through Policy DM7). Although water efficiency measures are ultimately secured and enforced via the Building Regulations, the Regulations require the 'optional requirement' of 110 litres per person per day to be a requirement of planning permission. Policy DM7 sets out the same water use requirement. This is no coincidence and was drafted in this way to ensure that lower water use is secured (via the Building Regulations) as part of the construction of new housing development built in West Suffolk. The requirement is based on sound evidence which has been tested at examination.
- 6.3 Where applicants have failed to provide evidence to address the second strand of the policy DM7 requirement, it continues to be appropriate to impose the planning condition set out at paragraph 2.1 above. Failure to address water efficiency as part of the consideration of planning applications for new housing development would be contrary to policy DM7 and without proper evidence based justification to set aside the requirement, decisions could be vulnerable to third party challenge.

1.0 Purpose

1.1 This briefing note has been prepared in response to a recent appeal decision where the Planning Inspector concluded that imposing the Councils' standard 'water efficiency' condition upon a planning permission would be unreasonable and unenforceable.

2.0 The planning condition

- 2.1 The planning condition is a standard condition imposed u as a matter of course upon the majority of planning applications that propose residential development. The standard condition is as follows:
 - No individual dwelling hereby approved shall be occupied until the optional requirement for water consumption (110 litres use per person per day) in Part G of the Building Regulations has been complied with for that dwelling.
- 2.2 The reason for the condition is summarised on decision notices as follows:

Reason: To improve the sustainability of the dwellings in accordance with policy DM7 of the Joint Development Management Policies (2015)

3.0 The appeal

- 3.1 The appeal case was for the construction of 3 dwellings at Stoke by Clare in St Edmundsbury district (appeal reference : APP/E3525/W/18/3197802 Planning application reference DC/16/2711/FUL).
- 3.2 As is standard practice, the Council provided the planning Inspector with a list of conditions it would wish to be imposed if the appeal were to be allowed (this is always offered without prejudice to the outcome of an appeal).
- 3.3 The Inspector allowed the appeal but chose not to impose the 'water efficiency condition'. The following extract from the appeal decision sets out the Inspectors' consideration:

"Finally, I have also omitted the Council's suggested condition which relates to optional maximum water consumption requirements under the building regulations. This is a matter which would be dealt with under these separate regulatory regulations. Furthermore, as an optional requirement, I do not consider it appropriate to compel this as part of the planning permission. This would fail to meet the tests of reasonableness or enforceability."

4.0 The Planning Policy requirement

- 4.1 The requirement for enhanced water efficiency measures to be secured from new residential development is grounded within policy DM7 (Sustainable Design and Construction) of the Joint Development Management Policies Document (2015).
- 4.2 The supporting text to policy DM7 sets out the context and justification for requiring enhanced water efficiency measures (paragraphs 3.24 and 3.25):
- 4.3 Policy DM7 states (amongst other things):

Proposals for new residential development will be required to demonstrate that either:

- No water fitting exceeds the values set out in Table 1 (or any other fittings specification that government issues to supersede this),
- 4.4 Table 1 referred to by Policy DM7 is as follows:

Water Fitting	National Base Level	
WC	6/4 litres dual flush or 4.5 litres single flush	
Shower	10 l/min	
Bath	185 litres	
Basin Taps	6 l/min	
Sink Taps	8 l/min	
Dishwasher	1.25 l/place setting	
Washing Machine	8.17 l/kilogram	
Table 1: fittings-based specification from DCLG (2014) Housing Standards Review:		
Approved Document G: Requirement G2 Water efficiency		

5.0 The Building Regulations Requirement

5.1 Water efficiency measures are also required by the Building Regulations. The building regulations set a specific water use standard that is appropriate for all new development proposals. Part G2 of the Regulations requires a maximum of 125 litres per person per day, or 110 litres per person per day (the optional requirement) "where a condition that the dwelling should meet the optimum requirement is imposed as part of the process of granting planning permission" (my emphasis).

- 6.1 The Inspectors conclusions that the imposition of a planning condition fails to meet the tests of reasonableness or enforceability are factually incorrect.
- 6.2 It is appropriate to attach the standard condition onto most planning permissions which approve new housing by virtue of the specific requirements of the Development Plan (through Policy DM7). Although water efficiency measures are ultimately secured and enforced via the Building Regulations, the Regulations require the 'optional requirement' of 110 litres per person per day to be a requirement of planning permission. Policy DM7 sets out the same water use requirement. This is no coincidence and was drafted in this way to ensure that lower water use is secured (via the Building Regulations) as part of the construction of new housing development built in West Suffolk. The requirement is based on sound evidence which has been tested at examination.
- 6.3 Where applicants have failed to provide evidence to address the second strand of the policy DM7 requirement, it continues to be appropriate to impose the planning condition set out at paragraph 2.1 above. Failure to address water efficiency as part of the consideration of planning applications for new housing development would be contrary to policy DM7 and without proper evidence based justification to set aside the requirement, decisions could be vulnerable to third party challenge.

1.0 Purpose

1.1 This briefing note has been prepared in response to a recent appeal decision where the Planning Inspector concluded that imposing the Councils' standard 'water efficiency' condition upon a planning permission would be unreasonable and unenforceable.

2.0 The planning condition

- 2.1 The planning condition is a standard condition imposed u as a matter of course upon the majority of planning applications that propose residential development. The standard condition is as follows:
 - No individual dwelling hereby approved shall be occupied until the optional requirement for water consumption (110 litres use per person per day) in Part G of the Building Regulations has been complied with for that dwelling.
- 2.2 The reason for the condition is summarised on decision notices as follows:

Reason: To improve the sustainability of the dwellings in accordance with policy DM7 of the Joint Development Management Policies (2015)

3.0 The appeal

- 3.1 The appeal case was for the construction of 3 dwellings at Stoke by Clare in St Edmundsbury district (appeal reference : APP/E3525/W/18/3197802 Planning application reference DC/16/2711/FUL).
- 3.2 As is standard practice, the Council provided the planning Inspector with a list of conditions it would wish to be imposed if the appeal were to be allowed (this is always offered without prejudice to the outcome of an appeal).
- 3.3 The Inspector allowed the appeal but chose not to impose the 'water efficiency condition'. The following extract from the appeal decision sets out the Inspectors' consideration:

"Finally, I have also omitted the Council's suggested condition which relates to optional maximum water consumption requirements under the building regulations. This is a matter which would be dealt with under these separate regulatory regulations. Furthermore, as an optional requirement, I do not consider it appropriate to compel this as part of the planning permission. This would fail to meet the tests of reasonableness or enforceability."

4.0 The Planning Policy requirement

- 4.1 The requirement for enhanced water efficiency measures to be secured from new residential development is grounded within policy DM7 (Sustainable Design and Construction) of the Joint Development Management Policies Document (2015).
- 4.2 The supporting text to policy DM7 sets out the context and justification for requiring enhanced water efficiency measures (paragraphs 3.24 and 3.25):
- 4.3 Policy DM7 states (amongst other things):

Proposals for new residential development will be required to demonstrate that either:

- No water fitting exceeds the values set out in Table 1 (or any other fittings specification that government issues to supersede this),
- 4.4 Table 1 referred to by Policy DM7 is as follows:

Water Fitting	National Base Level	
WC	6/4 litres dual flush or 4.5 litres single flush	
Shower	10 l/min	
Bath	185 litres	
Basin Taps	6 l/min	
Sink Taps	8 l/min	
Dishwasher	1.25 l/place setting	
Washing Machine	8.17 l/kilogram	
Table 1: fittings-based specification from		
DCLG (2014) Housing Standards Review:		
Approved Document G: Requirement G2		
Water efficiency		

5.0 The Building Regulations Requirement

5.1 Water efficiency measures are also required by the Building Regulations. The building regulations set a specific water use standard that is appropriate for all new development proposals. Part G2 of the Regulations requires a maximum of 125 litres per person per day, or 110 litres per person per day (the optional requirement) "where a condition that the dwelling should meet the optimum requirement is imposed as part of the process of granting planning permission" (my emphasis).

- 6.1 The Inspectors conclusions that the imposition of a planning condition fails to meet the tests of reasonableness or enforceability are factually incorrect.
- 6.2 It is appropriate to attach the standard condition onto most planning permissions which approve new housing by virtue of the specific requirements of the Development Plan (through Policy DM7). Although water efficiency measures are ultimately secured and enforced via the Building Regulations, the Regulations require the 'optional requirement' of 110 litres per person per day to be a requirement of planning permission. Policy DM7 sets out the same water use requirement. This is no coincidence and was drafted in this way to ensure that lower water use is secured (via the Building Regulations) as part of the construction of new housing development built in West Suffolk. The requirement is based on sound evidence which has been tested at examination.
- 6.3 Where applicants have failed to provide evidence to address the second strand of the policy DM7 requirement, it continues to be appropriate to impose the planning condition set out at paragraph 2.1 above. Failure to address water efficiency as part of the consideration of planning applications for new housing development would be contrary to policy DM7 and without proper evidence based justification to set aside the requirement, decisions could be vulnerable to third party challenge.